MARICOPA COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT BOARD OF DIRECTORS MINUTE BOOK

May 16, 2002

The Board of Directors of the Flood Control District of Maricopa County, Arizona, convened at 9:00 a.m., May 16, 2002, in the Sullivan Conference Room, Tenth Floor, 301 W. Jefferson, Phoenix, Arizona, with the following members present: Don Stapley, Chairman; Fulton Brock, Vice Chairman; Andy Kunasek, Max W. Wilson, Mary Rose Wilcox, Fran McCarroll, Clerk of the Board; and Alma Hernandez, Administrative Coordinator. Also present: David Smith, County Administrative Officer; and Paul Golab, Deputy County Attorney. Votes of the Members will be recorded as follows: (aye-no-absent-abstain).

PRESENTATION AND ACTION: MARICOPA COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT FIVE-YEAR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (FY 2002-2003 THROUGH FY 2006-2007)

Item: Approve or amend the recommended Maricopa County Flood Control District Five-Year Capital Improvement Program (FY 2002-2003 through FY 2006-2007). This recommended CIP includes funding from the Flood Control District Fund 990, which is funded from the Flood Control District Secondary Property Tax. The Flood Control District Capital Improvement Program which is being recommended was reviewed by the Flood Control Advisory Board on January 23, 2002. The revenues and expenditures for the first year of the five-year Capital Improvement Program will be included in the recommended FY 2002-2003 annual budget for the Flood Control District, if funding allows. If funding or priorities change, the Board may need to amend the Capital Improvement Program to align with the FY 2002-2003 Tentative or Final Budgets when they are adopted. (C49020458) (ADM1900-004)

Chairman Stapley publicly thanked Joe Munoz, Public Information Officer for the Flood Control District (FCD), and the Flood Control District team for the excellent manner in which public hearing process for the Usery Park Master Plan was conducted and for the excellent public participation.

Michael S. Ellegood, P.E., Chief Engineer and General Manager, Flood Control District, stated that no formal presentation regarding the 5-year CIP was planned since the Directors had previously been briefed, however, Dick Perreault, CIP Manager, Flood Control District was in attendance to answer any questions.

Motion was made by Director Wilcox, seconded by Director Brock, and unanimously carried (5-0) to approve the item as recommended.

Director Kunasek requested a brief overview of the salient points for this item.

Mr. Perreault reported that the majority of the CIP items are continuing projects, primarily revenue driven, and \$9 million less than in previous years. The majority of projects are generated by other agencies or municipalities and have been prioritized and approved by the Flood Control Advisory Board.

Director Kunasek requested an update on the dam safety project. Mr. Perreault reported that interim repairs are ongoing and that because the project has multiple contracts, it will be a long-term program. Mr. Ellegood interjected that assistance is greatly needed from the Board of Directors and the federal lobbyists to secure federal funding to help with the dam replacement project.

Director Kunasek commented that correcting structural design flaws should be the responsibility of the original builders (i.e., Army Corps of Engineers), and those agencies should either assist financially to fix the dams or they should be conveyed back to the agencies.

May 16, 2002

The Flood Control District's Capital Improvement Program for FY 2002-2003 through FY 2006-2007 follows:

FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FY 2002-03 through FY 2006-07

| | PRIOR | | | | | | 5-YR TOTAL | | |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|--|
| | YEARS | FY 02-03 | FY 03-04 | FY 04-05 | FY 05-06 | FY 06-07 | (FY 03-07) | TOTAL COST | |
| FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT CAPITAL PROJECTS (Fund 990) | | | | | | | | | |
| FCD Operations | \$ 420,000 | | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 204,000 | \$ 624,000 | |
| Central Chandler Area Drainage System | 2,042,000 | 448,000 | 3,010,000 | - | _ | - | 3,458,000 | 5,500,000 | |
| Town Of Guadalupe | 2,316,000 | 2,132,000 | - | 375.000 | _ | _ | 2,507,000 | 4,823,000 | |
| Dam Safety Project | 1,516,000 | 1,677,000 | 1,100,000 | 1,150,000 | 1,500,000 | 5,000,000 | 10,427,000 | 11,943,000 | |
| Candidate Assessment Reports | 55,000 | 155,000 | 100,000 | 100.000 | 100,000 | 100.000 | 555,000 | 610,000 | |
| South Phoenix Drainage Improvement | 4,040,000 | 9,145,000 | 4,188,000 | 200,000 | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 | 18,533,000 | 22,573,000 | |
| Paradise Valley, Scottsdale, Phoenix | 20,000 | 105,000 | 225,000 | 3,000,000 | - | - | 3,330,000 | 3,350,000 | |
| East Maricopa Floodway | 6.942,000 | 1,774,000 | 1,200,000 | 3,000,000 | 5,000,000 | 5,000,000 | 15,974,000 | 22,916,000 | |
| Salt River | 10,000 | 125,000 | · · · - | · · · · · | | · · · · - | 125,000 | 135,000 | |
| Arlington Valley | 10,000 | 55,000 | 1,200,000 | _ | _ | _ | 1,255,000 | 1,265,000 | |
| Buckeye/ Sun Valley ADMP | 480,000 | 1,180,000 | 1,100,000 | _ | _ | _ | 2,280,000 | 2,760,000 | |
| Wittmann ADMP | 567,000 | 1,135,000 | 488,000 | - | - | - | 1,623,000 | 2,190,000 | |
| Aguila ADMP | 910,000 | 455,000 | 150,000 | _ | _ | _ | 605,000 | 1,515,000 | |
| Skunk Creek | 50,000 | 55,000 | 720,000 | - | - | - | 775,000 | 825,000 | |
| Skunk Creek / New River | 310,000 | 400,000 | 1,650,000 | 6,000,000 | - | _ | 8,050,000 | 8,360,000 | |
| Spook Hill ADMP | 486,000 | - | - | 400,000 | 1,400,000 | 4,000,000 | 5,800,000 | 6,286,000 | |
| East Mesa ADMP | 7,041,000 | 8,763,000 | 5,350,000 | 9,090,000 | 7,200,000 | 4,000,000 | 34,403,000 | 41,444,000 | |
| Glendale / Peoria ADMP | 620,000 | - | - | 150,000 | 2,450,000 | 3,485,000 | 6,085,000 | 6,705,000 | |
| White Tanks ADMP | 2,724,000 | 1,135,000 | 2,250,000 | 8,780,000 | 9,000,000 | 9,600,000 | 30,765,000 | 33,489,000 | |
| Queen Creek ADMP | 105,000 | 1,965,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 4,700,000 | 4,000,000 | 12,665,000 | 12,770,000 | |
| Gilbert / Chandler ADMP | 111,000 | 25,000 | - | - | - | - | 25,000 | 136,000 | |
| Higley ADMP | 4,789,000 | 270,000 | 350,000 | 1,000,000 | 2,250,000 | 5,000,000 | 8,870,000 | 13,659,000 | |
| Adobe Dam ADMP | 403,000 | 3,096,000 | 950,000 | - | - | - | 4,046,000 | 4,449,000 | |
| Durango ADMP | 178,000 | 310,000 | 3,000,000 | 6,900,000 | 6,000,000 | 4,000,000 | 20,210,000 | 20,388,000 | |
| ACDC ADMP | - | 5,804,000 | 6,600,000 | - | - | - | 12,404,000 | 12,404,000 | |
| Maryvale ADMP | 2,123,000 | 7,039,000 | 12,090,000 | 4,515,000 | 8,400,000 | 5,250,000 | 37,294,000 | 39,417,000 | |
| Metro ADMP | - | 180,000 | 250,000 | 3,100,000 | - | - | 3,530,000 | 3,530,000 | |
| Subtotal Flood Control | \$ 52,194,000 | \$ 47,632,000 | \$ 46,971,000 | \$ 48,760,000 | \$ 50,500,000 | \$ 51,935,000 | \$ 245,798,000 | \$ 297,992,000 | |
| Project Reserve | \$ - | \$ 968,000 | \$ 2,829,000 | \$ 2,640,000 | \$ 2,500,000 | \$ 2,765,000 | \$ 11,702,000 | \$ 11,702,000 | |
| TOTAL FUND 990 | \$ 52,194,000 | \$ 48,600,000 | \$ 49,800,000 | \$ 51,400,000 | \$ 53,000,000 | \$ 54,700,000 | \$ 257,500,000 | \$ 309,694,000 | |

PRESENTATION AND ACTION: FUNDING FOR FLOOD HAZARD ADVERTISING

Item: Authorize the Flood Control District of Maricopa County to advertise on the television station KPNX (Channel 12), one insert page in the Arizona Republic, and three months on the Arizona Republic website for an estimated amount of \$36,647. These advertisements are to provide flood hazard information about the dangers of flash flooding and crossing flooded washes due to the monsoons. (C69021098) (ADM1918)

Mr. Ellegood explained that this funds request would allow the FCD to participate as one of four sponsors in the television station KPNX-Channel 12 and Arizona Republic newspaper's annual monsoon special. This type of advertising is an excellent educational tool for the public and would be a cost-effective way to warn

MARICOPA COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT BOARD OF DIRECTORS MINUTE BOOK

May 16, 2002

citizens about flood hazards prior to the monsoon season. Mr. Ellegood cited the importance of reiterating public safety messages regarding these dangers because of the constant influx of new residents from other regions of the country who are unfamiliar with flash flooding.

Chairman Stapley commented that Maricopa County is in the midst of the worst drought ever, and questioned whether there was an insight Flood Control could provide. Mr. Ellegood reported that there are two major overlapping climatological phenomena currently impacting this region, and the combination makes it very dry.

Director Wilson questioned the benefits derived from sponsorship, since the program would continue whether or not the FCD participates. Mr. Ellegood reiterated the need to reinforce the flash flood safety message and to declare publicly FCD's participation.

Mr. Muñoz expanded on the advertising format that would include:

- Twenty-three (23), thirty (30) second public service announcements to air the week of June 11th
- Sponsorship of a thirty (30) minute program to be aired on June 11th,
- Two ads during the week of June 11th in the Arizona Republic newspaper,
- A full-page advertisement in a special feature, pull-out section of the Arizona Republic, and
- FCD's website will be linked to the azcentral.com website for 3 months.

Director Brock questioned the effectiveness of public service announcements (PSA's) that compete against commercial ads depicting vehicles driven through flooded washes and, more importantly, the airing of PSA's during June, the driest month of the year.

According to Mr. Ellegood, the PSA's are targeted to coincide with the start of the monsoon season, in mid-to late-June, and are intended to educate the public prior to monsoon activity. In response to the issue of competition with commercial ads, the Clark County, Nevada Flood Control Department has attempted to counteract them by running a \$500,000 public education campaign with slogans such as "Boats Float – Cars Don't". The Maricopa County Flood Control District is researching a broader education program to target that audience.

Director Kunasek commented that he was not inclined to approve these funds and would need more time to process the proposal. Chairman Stapley suggested further briefing regarding this request, but noted the time constraint since the target date is June 11th.

Director Wilson commented that he is disinclined to support this expense in the middle of a drought, particularly if there is a slight monsoon season.

Motion was made by Director Wilcox and seconded by Chairman Stapley to approve this item. Motion failed by a majority vote of (2-3) with Directors Stapley and Wilcox voting "aye" and Directors Kunasek, Brock and Wilson voting "no."

MARICOPA COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT BOARD OF DIRECTORS MINUTE BOOK

May 16, 2002

| MEETING ADJOURNED |
|-------------------|
|-------------------|

| There being no further business to come before the Boa | rd, the meeting was adjourned. |
|--|------------------------------------|
| ATTEST: | Don Stapley, Chairman of the Board |
| Fran McCarroll, Official Record Keeper | |